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#### Abstract

B\) isomer of clomiphene $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NOCl}_{2}$, (I $B$ ). HCl : space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=15.564$ (1), $b=$ 9.229 (1), $c=18.285$ (2) $\AA ; \beta=116.40(1)^{\circ}, Z=4, D_{x}=$ $1 \cdot 24, D_{m}=1 \cdot 25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The structure was solved by direct methods. The positional and thermal parameters were refined by the full-matrix procedure, converging at $R=0.053$. The unsubstituted aromatic rings are trans (torsion angle $=174.4^{\circ}$ ), not cis as previously reported.


* To whom inquiries should be addressed.

Introduction. A crystal $(0.14 \times 0.17 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm})$ of (IB). HCl (m.p. $150-151^{\circ}$, from pentanone) mounted on a Nonius CAD-IV automatic diffractometer (graph-ite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=1 \cdot 5418 \AA$ ) was used to obtain intensity data in the $\theta / 2 \theta$ mode to a limit of $52^{\circ}$ in $\theta$ beyond which Weissenberg photographs showed few observable reflections. Other than systematic absences ( $h 0 l, 0 k 0 ; l, k$ odd) 2576 independent reflections ( $h k l, h k \bar{l}$ ) were measured. Only those (1905) satisfying the relationship $\left|F_{o}\right|>3 \sigma(F)$ were considered reliably observed. An absorption correction was not applied. Scattering factors and anomalous dis-

## Table 1. Atomic positional and thermal parameters

The estimated standard deviations are shown in parentheses and refer to the last digit of the respective values. The positional parameters are fractions of the lattice translations. The numbering system is shown in Fig. 1. The anisotropic temperature factor expression used is $\exp \left[-\left(h^{2} \beta_{11}+k^{2} \beta_{12}+l^{2} \beta_{23}+2 h k \beta_{12}+2 h l \beta_{13}+2 k l \beta_{23}\right)\right]$.
(a) Non-hydrogen atoms $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{32}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 8399 (4) | 2145 (7) | 5931 (3) | 44 (4) | 112 (9) | 29 (2) | 10 (5) | 11 (2) | 8 (4) |
| C(2) | 8667 (4) | 3489 (7) | 5954 (3) | 45 (4) | 118 (9) | 31 (3) | 3 (5) | 15 (3) | 19 (4) |
| C(3) | 7153 (4) | -211 (7) | 8697 (4) | 58 (4) | 163 (9) | 33 (3) | -26 (5) | 28 (3) | -12 (4) |
| C(4) | 6698 (4) | -1363 (7) | 9007 (3) | 39 (4) | 158 (9) | 32 (3) | -2 (5) | 15 (3) | 5 (4) |
| C(5) | 5035 (4) | -1667 (7) | 7887 (3) | 49 (4) | 166 (9) | 31 (3) | -7 (5) | 17 (3) | -1 (4) |
| C(6) | 4046 (5) | -1007 (8) | 7523 (4) | 55 (4) | 185 (9) | 59 (4) | -11 (6) | 17 (3) | -17 (6) |
| C(7) | 5335 (4) | -1791 (7) | 9349 (4) | 59 (4) | 145 (9) | 35 (3) | -11 (5) | 26 (2) | 15 (4) |
| C(8) | 5641 (6) | -3325 (9) | 9569 (5) | 84 (6) | 200 (9) | 74 (4) | 1 (7) | 40 (4) | 49 (6) |
| C(9) | 7928 (4) | 1650 (6) | 6455 (3) | 50 (4) | 90 (8) | 34 (3) | 7 (5) | 22 (3) | 17 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 7091 (4) | 847 (6) | 6108 (3) | 52 (4) | 104 (8) | 35 (3) | -11(5) | 21 (3) | 6 (4) |
| C(11) | 6705 (4) | 223 (6) | 6584 (3) | 51 (4) | 110 (9) | 29 (3) | -13 (5) | 13 (3) | -5 (4) |
| C(12) | 7170 (4) | 415 (6) | 7426 (3) | 49 (4) | 91 (8) | 34 (3) | -4 (5) | 24 (3) | -4 (4) |
| C(13) | 7980 (4) | 1252 (6) | 7794 (3) | 45 (4) | 114 (9) | 30 (2) | -3 (5) | 18 (3) | -9 (4) |
| C(14) | 8362 (4) | 1872 (6) | 7305 (3) | 38 (3) | 107 (9) | 38 (3) | 3 (4) | 18 (3) | 4 (4) |
| C(15) | 8554 (4) | 983 (6) | 5436 (3) | 52 (4) | 94 (8) | 31 (3) | 4 (5) | 21 (3) | -5 (4) |
| C(16) | 8233 (5) | 1126 (7) | 4593 (4) | 81 (5) | 104 (9) | 41 (3) | 16 (5) | 32 (3) | 0 (4) |
| C(17) | 8367 (5) | 28 (8) | 4145 (4) | 77 (5) | 172 (9) | 41 (3) | 26 (6) | 32 (3) | -2 (5) |
| C(18) | 8815 (5) | -1222 (8) | 4503 (4) | 66 (5) | 162 (9) | 51 (4) | 3 (6) | 27 (3) | -24 (5) |
| C(19) | 9113 (6) | -1417 (7) | 5330 (5) | 93 (6) | 106 (9) | 61 (4) | 20 (6) | 33 (4) | -2 (5) |
| C(20) | 8975 (5) | -319 (7) | 5792 (4) | 74 (5) | 124 (9) | 38 (3) | 12 (6) | 22 (3) | 5 (5) |
| C(21) | 8452 (4) | 4714 (6) | 6387 (3) | 52 (4) | 67 (7) | 35 (3) | 2 (4) | 17 (3) | 5 (4) |
| C(22) | 7506 (4) | 5039 (7) | 6205 (4) | 52 (4) | 112 (9) | 40 (3) | 16 (5) | 20 (3) | 19 (4) |
| C(23) | 7304 (5) | 6171 (8) | 6601 (4) | 68 (5) | 169 (9) | 47 (3) | 39 (6) | 28 (4) | 27 (5) |
| C(24) | 8056 (6) | 6968 (8) | 7180 (4) | 107 (7) | 134 (9) | 40 (3) | 39 (7) | 30 (4) | -3(5) |
| C(25) | 8994 (6) | 6667 (8) | 7358 (4) | 78 (5) | 121 (9) | 45 (3) | 17 (6) | 14 (3) | 1 (4) |
| C(26) | 9198 (4) | 5547 (7) | 6958 (4) | 53 (4) | 102 (9) | 43 (3) | -12 (5) | 19 (3) | -8(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)^{+}$ | 5644 (3) | -1129 (5) | 8744 (3) | 58 (3) | 128 (7) | 30 (2) | -9 (4) | 22 (2) | 0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 6744 (3) | -334 (5) | 7834 (2) | 69 (3) | 181 (7) | 32 (2) | -38(4) | 27 (2) | -9 (3) |
| Cl | 9382 (1) | 4022 (2) | 5474 (1) | 70 (1) | 119 (2) | 53 (1) | -6 (1) | 41 (1) | 10 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 5484 (1) | 2028 (2) | 9103 (1) | 86 (1) | 125 (2) | 48 (1) | 1 (1) | 38 (1) | 0 (1) |

Table 1 (cont.)
(b) Hydrogen atoms (coordinates* $\times 10^{4}, B \times 10^{2}$ )

The isotropic temperature factor expression used is $\exp \left[-B(\sin \theta / \lambda)^{2}\right]$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N})$ | 5542 | $-52$ | 8740 | $348 \AA^{2}$ |
| H(3 1) | 6990 | 844 | 8864 | 415 |
| H(3 2) | 7908 | -326 | 8969 | 415 |
| H(4 1) | 7065 | - 1412 | 9658 | 404 |
| H(4 2) | 6791 | -2395 | 8775 | 404 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{l})$ | 4970 | -2820 | 7895 | 428 |
| $\mathrm{H}\binom{5}{2}$ | 5381 | $-1405$ | 7512 | 428 |
| H(6 1) | 4098 | 0 | 7566 | 584 |
| H(6 2) | 3548 | -1347 | 7774 | 584 |
| H(6 3) | 3747 | -1392 | 6969 | 584 |
| $\mathrm{H}(71)$ | 4566 | $-1740$ | 9097 | 422 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(7{ }^{7}\right.$ ) | 5623 | $-1153$ | 9896 | 422 |
| $\mathrm{H}(81)$ | 5455 | -3850 | 9046 | 618 |
| $\mathrm{H}(812)$ | 5337 | -3571 | 9836 | 618 |
| H(8 3) | 6214 | -3571 | 9878 | 618 |
| H(10) | 6742 | 684 | 5455 | 399 |
| H(11) | 6061 | -414 | 6308 | 388 |
| H(13) | 8318 | 1407 | 8444 | 360 |
| H(14) | 9005 | 2516 | 7582 | 358 |
| H(16) | 7884 | 2129 | 4298 | 463 |
| H(17) | 8136 | 165 | 3497 | 513 |
| H(18) | 8910 | -2055 | 4146 | 509 |
| H(19) | 9455 | -2415 | 5613 | 538 |
| H(20) | 9205 | -458 | 6433 | 505 |
| H(22) | 6929 | 4402 | 5761 | 385 |
| H(23) | 6563 | 6407 | 6463 | 514 |
| H(24) | 7890 | 7835 | 7485 | 558 |
| H(25) | 9568 | 7307 | 7803 | 542 |
| H(26) | 9932 | 5297 | 7093 | 436 |

* Hydrogen atoms fixed by geometrical considerations were placed in idealized positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths of $1.07 \AA$. Thus, no e.s.d.'s are included here.
persion factors were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1968).

The structure was solved by direct methods. Following block-diagonal refinement of positional atomic and isotropic thermal parameters, a difference map revealed the positions of all H atoms. These were assigned isotropic temperature factors equal to those of the heavier atoms to which they were attached. Full-matrix refinement converged at $R=0.053$. A final difference Fourier map revealed no peaks greater than $0 \cdot 2 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. The average shift in a parameter was $0 \cdot 2 \sigma$. The final positional and thermal parameters are listed in Table 1.* All calculations were performed on the University of Pittsburgh PDP-10 computer using programs of Shiono (1963-1973) and the X-RAY 72 system of programs (Stewart, 1972; Ernst \& Poppleton, 1974).

Discussion. The cis stereochemistry was assigned to (IB) since it exhibited ultraviolet absorption at higher wavelength than (IA) (Palopoli, Feil, Allen, Holtkamp \& Richardson, 1967).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p-\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} ; \mathrm{R}_{2} \\
& \text { (II) } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
& \text { (III) } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br} \\
& \text { (II) } \mathrm{R}_{1}={\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br}}^{\text {(V) } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br} .} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar rationalization for (II) results in a stereochemical assignment now known from X-ray diffraction studies to be incorrect (Kilbourn \& Owston, 1970). Yet dipole moment studies appeared to be consistent with the geometric assignments made for isomers of (I) and (II) (Richardson \& Benson, 1967; Bedford \& Richardson, 1966; Kilbourn \& Owston, 1970). In addition, the chemical transformations, (III) $\leftarrow$ (IV) $\rightarrow$ (V), and comparison of the ultraviolet and n.m.r. data for (IA) with that for (III) (m.p. 51.5-53 $)$ and (V) (117.5$119^{\circ}$ ), both of which were prepared from (IV) (m.p. $145-147^{\circ}$ ), established that these have the same stereochemistry (Richardson \& Benson, 1967) and supported the original assignment of trans stereochemistry to (IA) on the basis of the admittedly tenuous trans stereochemical assignment for (V) (Koelsch, 1932; Curtin, Harris \& Meislich, 1952; Richardson \& Benson, 1967; Longfellow \& Jackson, 1947, 1948). For these reasons, the n.m.r. spectral data of (I) and (II) appeared to be open to different interpretations (Richardson \& Benson, 1967; Bedford \& Richardson, 1966; Kilbourn \& Owston, 1970) although the rationalization provided for the n.m.r. data of (II) is more convincing on both stereochemical and theoretical grounds. Finally, the trans isomer of (II) is the antiestrogenic isomer, while (IB), which had been assigned the cis geometry, is the antiestrogenic isomer (Harper \& Walpole, 1966; Self, Holtkamp \& Kuhn, 1967). These inconsistencies and uncertainties provided the impetus for the determination of the stereochemistry of isomers of (I) by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction analysis.
The bond distances and angles (Fig. 1) are not significantly different from those found in (II) (Kilbourn \& Owston, 1970). The e.s.d.'s for $(1 B)$ are about half those cited for (II). The dihedral angles between least-squares planes of the aromatic rings and the central vinyl group (Table 2*) describe a propeller-like arrangement of the rings with respect to the vinyl plane - a feature paralleling that found in (II). The $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$, $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(3)$ $-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ torsion angles are $-179 \cdot 6,-168 \cdot 1$, $-66 \cdot 1$ and $81 \cdot 3^{\circ}$, respectively. The $\mathrm{O}(1)$-to-C(6) and $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{to}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N})$ interatomic distances are 2.97 and $2.05 \AA$, respectively. All these conformational details are shown in Fig. 2.* The gauche ( - synclinal) $\mathrm{N}^{+}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ conformation and the short $\mathrm{O}(1)$-to- $\mathrm{C}(6)$ distance are consistent though not invariable features of such systems (Kilbourn \& Owston, 1970; Sundaralingam, 1968; Chothia \& Pauling, 1968; Hite \& Craven, 1973) in the solid state and in solution. Other close contacts involving $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$are: $3.57 \AA[\mathrm{C}(5)] ; 3 \cdot 57,2 \cdot 59 \AA[\mathrm{C}(7)] ; 3 \cdot 70 \AA$ $[\mathrm{C}(4)]$; and $3 \cdot 65 \AA[\mathrm{C}(3)]$. There are no additional in-
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Fig. 1. Bond distances and angles of (E)-1-[p-(diethylaminoethoxy)phenyll-1,2-diphenyl-2-chloroethylene hydrochloride, $(\mathrm{I} B . \mathrm{HCl})$. The numbers in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations in the last digit of the respective value.
terionic contacts significantly shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii.

The $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ torsion angle of $174 \cdot 4^{\circ}$ establishes the trans stereochemistry for ( $\mathrm{I} B$ ), the ovulation inducing, antiestrogenic (Leavitt \& Meisner, 1968; Self, Holtkamp \& Kuhn, 1967) isomer of clomiphene (I) and the cis stereochemistry for (III)-(V). These assignments are the opposite of those originally reported. The correlation of structure with both physical properties and biological action (the trans isomers are the antiestrogens) is now seen to be consistent and does provide insight into the molecular mode of action and optimal attributes for ovulation inducing agents (Ernst, Hite, Cantrell, Richardson \& Benson, 1975).
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